#### WRITTEN STATEMENT OF

### ERIN M. COLLINS NATIONAL TAXPAYER ADVOCATE

#### "HEARING WITH THE NATIONAL TAXPAYER ADVOCATE

#### **ON CHALLENGES FACING TAXPAYERS"**

**BEFORE THE** 

### SUBCOMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

**FEBRUARY 8, 2022** 

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	Main Taxpayer Challenges1		
	А.	Taxpayers have been experiencing significant delays in receiving their tax refunds because of unprecedented IRS backlogs in the processing of original and amended tax returns	
	B.	Taxpayers have been experiencing additional delays in receiving their tax refunds because of IRS delays in processing taxpayer correspondence	
	C.	Taxpayers had more difficulty reaching the IRS by phone in 2021 than in any prior year	
	D.	Taxpayers found that the IRS's <i>Where's My Refund</i> ? and <i>Where's My Amended Return</i> ? tools often could not answer those questions	
II.	Short	t -Term Solutions and Challenges	
III.	Medium-Term and Longer-Term Administrative Recommendations		
	A.	Improve online taxpayer accounts and allow taxpayers to communicate with the IRS routinely by secure email	
	B.	Utilize scanning technology	
	C.	Reduce barriers to e-filing	
	D.	Automate the creation and review of amended tax returns	
	E.	Deploy "customer callback" technology on all telephone lines, so taxpayers and tax professionals don't have to wait on hold and can receive a return call when the next CSR is available	
IV.	Legislative Recommendations7		
	A.	Provide the IRS with additional sustained, multi-year funding at levels sufficient to meet taxpayer needs7	
	В.	Refrain from making changes to the Internal Revenue Code just before, and particularly during, the filing season	
V.	TAS Case Processing		
VI.	Conc	Conclusion	

Chairman Pascrell, Ranking Member Rice, and distinguished Members of this Subcommittee:

Thank you for inviting me to testify at today's hearing on challenges facing taxpayers.<sup>1</sup> As I wrote in the National Taxpayer Advocate's Annual Report to Congress, last year was the most challenging year taxpayers and tax professionals have ever experienced.

In this statement, I will highlight the main challenges taxpayers faced last year, discuss the challenges the IRS will face implementing short-term solutions, make recommendations for medium-term and longer-term solutions, and address challenges my own organization, the Taxpayer Advocate Service (TAS), has been facing in assisting taxpayers.

Before I discuss taxpayer problems, I want to take a moment to credit the IRS for doing a lot right under difficult circumstances. Although taxpayer service challenges existed prior to 2020, the unprecedented return processing and correspondence backlogs we have seen over the past two years did not exist before the pandemic. The pandemic forced the IRS to temporarily shut down its processing facilities for the health and safety of employees. It consequently caused the IRS to fall behind on its inventories, and it is still struggling to catch up. Also due to the pandemic, Congress directed the IRS to administer several financial relief programs that required the IRS to divert resources from its core tax administration work.

Among other things, the IRS has issued 478 million stimulus payments (referred to as Economic Impact Payments or "EIPs") totaling \$812 billion and has sent Advance Child Tax Credit (AdvCTC) payments to over 36 million families totaling over \$93 billion. It also implemented a retroactive change in law enacted during last year's filing season that excluded up to \$10,200 in 2020 unemployment compensation benefits from gross income – in most cases without requiring affected taxpayers who had already filed returns to file amended returns. The IRS's leadership and workforce deserve credit for their accomplishments – and I am particularly grateful to TAS employees for all they have done to assist taxpayers under these difficult circumstances.

#### I. Main Taxpayer Challenges

The main problems taxpayers encountered last year – and likely will encounter this year – were return processing delays, correspondence processing delays, difficulty reaching the IRS by telephone, and inability to obtain information from *Where's My Refund?* or *Where's My Amended Return?* 

# A. Taxpayers have been experiencing significant delays in receiving their tax refunds because of unprecedented IRS backlogs in the processing of original and amended tax returns.

During 2021, the IRS received approximately 169 million individual income tax returns.<sup>2</sup> About 90 percent were submitted electronically, and most of them were processed without delay.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The views expressed herein are solely those of the National Taxpayer Advocate. The National Taxpayer Advocate is appointed by the Secretary of the Treasury and reports to the Commissioner of Internal Revenue. However, the National Taxpayer Advocate presents an independent taxpayer perspective that does not necessarily reflect the position of the IRS, the Treasury Department, or the Office of Management and Budget. Congressional testimony requested from the National Taxpayer Advocate is not submitted to the IRS, the Treasury Department, or the Office of Management and Budget for prior approval. However, we have provided courtesy copies of this statement to both the IRS and the Treasury Department in advance of this hearing.

Nevertheless, tens of millions of taxpayers were subject to delays in the processing of their returns, and many of these delays have been substantial and ongoing.

At the close of the filing season (May 17, 2021, due to the postponed April 15 deadline), the IRS was holding 35.3 million tax returns for manual review. This backlog consisted of roughly half unprocessed paper returns and half tax returns suspended during processing, leading to refund delays for many of these taxpayers. For original returns submitted electronically, the IRS has mostly worked through the backlog. But the story is very different for paper returns.

In releasing my Annual Report to Congress, I said that paper is the IRS's Kryptonite and that the IRS is still buried in it. There is no doubt that paper processing remains the agency's biggest challenge, and that will continue throughout 2022. As of late December 2021, the IRS still had backlogs of 6 million unprocessed original individual returns (Form 1040 series) and 2.3 million unprocessed amended individual returns (Forms 1040-X) – with some return submissions dating back at least to April and many taxpayers still waiting for their refunds 10 months later. In addition, more than 2 million employer's quarterly tax returns (Forms 941 and 941-X) remained unprocessed.

As of January 28, 2022, the IRS website reports that "all paper and electronic individual refund returns received prior to April 2021 have been processed if the return had no errors and did not require further review."<sup>3</sup> By implication, that means returns filed as far back as April of last year are still awaiting processing. That is now 10 months and counting, and if IRS systems detect any discrepancies and issue a math error notice with respect to a return, the taxpayer likely will end up waiting more than a year to receive a refund.

Of all individual returns processed last year, 77 percent resulted in refunds. Therefore, processing delays translated directly into refund delays. Refund delays can create financial hardships for many taxpayers, particularly those with low incomes. Earned Income Tax Credit benefits are worth up to \$6,660; Child Tax Credit benefits were worth up to \$2,000 per qualifying child under tax year 2020 rules; and Recovery Rebate Credits (RRCs) are potentially worth several thousand dollars for families who did not receive some or all of their EIPs. Millions of taxpayers rely on the benefits from these programs to pay their basic living expenses, and when refunds are substantially delayed, the financial impact can range from mild inconvenience to severe financial hardship.

While taxpayers who e-filed their returns generally fared better than taxpayers who filed on paper, millions of e-filed returns were suspended during processing due to discrepancies between amounts claimed on the returns and amounts reflected on IRS records. The most common discrepancy involved RRC claims by taxpayers who did not receive some or all of their EIPs the prior year. These returns had to be manually reviewed, and the IRS issued more than 11 million math error notices to taxpayers over RRC discrepancies with IRS records. When a taxpayer disagreed with a math error notice and submitted a response, the correspondence added to the IRS's mounting paper submission pile that awaited processing and generated more delayed responses.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> IRS, Filing Season Statistics for Week Ending December 3, 2021, <u>https://www.irs.gov/newsroom/filing-season-statistics-for-week-ending-december-3-2021</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> IRS Operations During COVID-19: Mission-critical functions continue, <u>https://www.irs.gov/newsroom/irs-operations-during-covid-19-mission-critical-functions-continue</u> (last viewed Feb. 2, 2022).

I am concerned that the number of returns suspended and requiring manual processing will be extraordinarily high again in 2022. As part of the American Rescue Plan Act enacted last March, Congress authorized two advance tax credit payments that may result in additional discrepancies between amounts claimed on tax returns and in IRS records. The first was a third round of EIPs that may be claimed as RRCs by taxpayers who did not receive them or did not receive the full amounts for which they are eligible. The second was monthly payments of the AdvCTC for the second half of 2021. Both credits will have to be claimed and/or reconciled on 2021 individual tax returns. The IRS has attempted to minimize discrepancies by sending notices to taxpayers who received EIPs and AdvCTC payments showing how much they received, but some of the notices were inaccurate and millions of discrepancies – and consequent math error notices – remain likely.

### B. Taxpayers have been experiencing additional delays in receiving their tax refunds because of IRS delays in processing taxpayer correspondence.

The IRS sent tens of millions of notices to taxpayers during 2021. These included nearly 14 million math error notices, Automated Underreporter notices (where an amount reported on a tax return did not match the corresponding amount reported to the IRS on a Form 1099 or other third-party information return), notices requesting a taxpayer authenticate his or her identity where IRS security filters flagged a return as potentially filed by an identity thief, correspondence examination notices, and collection notices. In many cases, taxpayer responses were required, and if the IRS did not process a response, its automated processes could take adverse action or not release the refund claimed on the tax return. The IRS received 6.2 million taxpayer responses to proposed adjustments and took an average of 199 days to process them – up from 74 days in fiscal year (FY) 2019, the most recent pre-pandemic year.

# C. Taxpayers had more difficulty reaching the IRS by phone in 2021 than in any prior year.

The combination of processing delays and questions about new legislation and programs like the AdvCTC caused call volumes to almost triple from the prior year to a record 282 million telephone calls. Customer service representatives (CSRs) only answered about 32 million, or 11 percent, of those calls. As a result, most callers could not obtain answers to their tax law questions, get help with account problems, or speak with a CSR about a compliance notice. Among the one in nine callers who was able to reach a CSR, the IRS reported that hold times averaged 23 minutes. However, the IRS data on hold times excludes taxpayers who waited on hold for extended periods and hung up before a CSR answered their calls. Tax professionals and taxpayers have reported that hold times were often much longer, and frustration and dissatisfaction was high throughout the year with the low level of phone service.

# D. Taxpayers found that the IRS's *Where's My Refund?* and *Where's My Amended Return?* tools often could not answer those questions.

Taxpayers attempted to check the status of their refunds on IRS.gov more than 632 million times last year, but *Where's My Refund?* does not provide information on unprocessed returns, and it does not explain any status delays, the reasons for delays, where returns stand in the processing pipeline, or what actions taxpayers need to take, if any. *Where's My Amended Return?* suffered

from similar limitations. For taxpayers who experienced significant refund delays, these tools often did not answer the questions they were designed to address and added to overall frustrations.

#### II. Short -Term Solutions and Challenges

The IRS's leadership recognizes that processing delays are the most serious problem facing taxpayers, and it is developing plans to work through its backlog as quickly as possible. There are immediate steps the IRS can take to process returns more quickly, treat taxpayers more fairly, and provide transparency. Among them are the following:

- **Prioritize the processing of original and amended paper tax returns through an "all**hands-on-deck" surge strategy. The IRS has begun temporarily reassigning employees from other areas to the processing function and is providing them with training to process tax returns. This reallocation of employees is intended to continue until the agency fully works through its backlog, as it continues to struggle with its antiquated information technology (IT) systems for return processing and the overwhelming volume of paper returns and correspondence.
- *Explore options to increase compensation for processing employees, minimize hiring lags, and utilize outside consultants to assist.* My understanding is that submission processing employees are generally hired at or around the GS-3 level. The current base pay for GS-3 employees is \$24,749. In this economy, it is not surprising that the IRS is having difficulty finding enough suitable job applicants. Recently, the IRS announced 5,000 positions in its campuses but only 179 positions have been filled so far. The IRS should utilize all available pay flexibilities, including incentive and retention bonuses, hazard pay, and other options to retain key processing employees and attract qualified job applicants who can quickly be onboarded and trained.
- Suspend all automated collection notices until the IRS gets current in processing original and amended tax returns and taxpayer correspondence. Premature lien and levy notices have been issued to taxpayers in circumstances where tax returns or correspondence that show the taxpayers do not have liabilities have not yet been processed. That should not happen. The IRS has begun to suspend some notices to reduce confusion and frustration for taxpayers still waiting for their return or correspondence to be processed. This action has the added benefit of freeing up employees who ordinarily process taxpayer responses to assist with return processing.
- Defer automated collection activity until 45 days after the IRS addresses the merits of a taxpayer's response to an adjustment, a proposed liability, or a math error assessment. The IRS should not take collection actions when taxpayer correspondence, including correspondence requesting collection alternatives, has not been processed.
- **Provide penalty relief for all taxpayers whose returns were delayed in processing.** Although the IRS attempted to separate returns into batches by date of receipt, the system likely was subject to error. Moreover, even if a taxpayer filed a return late, it is not equitable for the IRS to wait ten months to process the return and then turn around and subject the taxpayer to a penalty for late filing.

- Add a dedicated team to accelerate the processing of claims for tentative refunds and employer's quarterly federal tax returns. Taxpayers have experienced long processing delays resulting in delayed payment of refunds. Individuals, estates, and trusts may file Form 1045, Application for Tentative Refund, and corporations may file Form 1139, Corporation Application for Tentative Refund, to carry back net operating losses and certain other tax benefits to prior years, as authorized by the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act. These requests typically result in refunds, some of which are substantial, and some taxpayers need the refunds to meet payroll or otherwise maintain operations. In addition, the IRS should accelerate the processing of Forms 941, Employer's Quarterly Federal Tax Return, and Forms 941-X, Adjusted Employer's Quarterly Federal Tax Return or Claim for Refund. Many Forms 941-X have been filed to claim the Employee Retention Credit, and a Form 941-X cannot be processed until the original Form 941 is processed.
- Create and update a weekly "dashboard" on IRS.gov to provide the public with current and specific information about delays. The IRS has created a webpage, IRS Operations During COVID-19: Mission-critical functions continue, that provides certain high-level information. However, it does not provide detailed information on processing backlogs. For example, for amended returns, it says only that "[t]he current timeframe can be more than 20 weeks." For correspondence backlogs, it says only that processing mail "is taking longer than usual," and "[t]he exact timeframe varies depending on the type of issue." It does not provide information on recent telephone delays, even though doing so would give taxpayers a better sense of whether they should devote the time to calling.

I have recommended that the IRS post a filing season dashboard, updated at least weekly, that lists each category of work and date through which the IRS has completed processing (*e.g.*, the IRS has processed all original tax returns through Date X and all amended tax returns through Date Y). The dashboard should include this information for original paper tax returns, amended paper tax returns, and math error and other categories of taxpayer correspondence. To apprise taxpayers of their chances of reaching an IRS employee by phone, the IRS should also post data for key telephone lines on the percentage of taxpayers who called the IRS the previous week and reached an employee.

None of these steps, standing alone, will immediately solve the processing backlog. But taken together, they should accelerate processing, reduce current filing season challenges including an increase to the inventory backlog, ensure taxpayers are treated fairly, and inform taxpayers of the status of work at the IRS.

#### III. Medium-Term and Longer-Term Administrative Recommendations

### A. Improve online taxpayer accounts and allow taxpayers to communicate with the IRS routinely by secure email.

Online taxpayer accounts are plagued by limited functionality. For example, taxpayers generally cannot use their online accounts to view images of their past tax returns, most IRS notices, or proposed assessments; file documents; or update their addresses. Taxpayers should have the ability to make corrections or adjustments to their returns through their online accounts.

Similarly, the IRS generally does not communicate with taxpayers by email. Limitations on communicating with the IRS electronically frustrate taxpayers who have been conducting comparable transactions with financial institutions for more than two decades. This increases the number of telephone calls and pieces of correspondence the IRS sends and receives, and leads to more paper processing delays. I recommend that the IRS prioritize the development of online accounts along the lines of what financial institutions offer.

#### B. Utilize scanning technology.

The IRS could reduce its backlog of paper tax returns by using scanning technology to machine read paper returns. There are two leading types of scanning technology: (i) 2-D barcoding and (ii) optical character recognition (OCR). When a customer buys a product at a grocery store or a pharmacy, the product is typically marked with a 2-D barcode that can be scanned at the checkout line. When a taxpayer prepares a tax return using tax software, the software companies generally can place a 2-D barcode on the return that encodes the return data in a machine-readable form. The IRS could then scan the barcode – like the supermarket or pharmacy does – eliminating the need for an employee to manually transcribe each digit on the return. Software companies have been placing 2-D barcodes on returns for some state tax agencies for more than a decade. The advantage of 2-D barcoding is that it should be 100 percent accurate. The disadvantage is that it does not help with paper tax returns prepared by hand or otherwise prepared without tax software.

OCR, the alternative type of scanning technology, has the opposite advantage and disadvantage. The advantage is that it can be used to machine read all returns, including handwritten returns. The disadvantage is that it is not 100 percent accurate; for example, a "1" and a "7" may look similar, so OCR may read the digit incorrectly. However, OCR technology should still be more accurate than manual data transcription because an employee not only may have the same difficulty distinguishing between the "1" and the "7" but may also hit the wrong key by mistake from time to time. Last year, IRS employees made transcription errors on 22 percent of paper returns.<sup>4</sup>

Some states use both 2-D barcoding for software-prepared returns and OCR for other returns. The IRS recently conducted a pilot and is exploring broader use of scanning technology. In my view, this should be a top technology priority.

#### C. Reduce barriers to e-filing.

The IRS deserves considerable credit for raising the individual e-file rate to about 90 percent. But that still leaves about 17 million individual income tax returns coming in on paper. Scanning technology, as discussed above, would provide a solution. However, it is also worth noting that some taxpayers who want to e-file their returns are not able to do so for any of several reasons: some lower-volume tax forms are not "supported" by the IRS's e-file system; the IRS rejected millions of electronically submitted returns last year because they broke one or more "business rules"; and some taxpayers cannot e-file when their returns include an attachment or an override of a field pre-populated by software. The IRS should take a hard look at the barriers that prevent taxpayers who wish to e-file from doing so. If it can find ways to eliminate those barriers, it will reduce its paper return inventory.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> IRS response to TAS information request (Sept. 16, 2021) (data through August 2021).

#### **D.** Automate the creation and review of amended tax returns.

All Forms 1040-X, *Amended U.S. Individual Income Tax Return*, are reviewed by IRS employees and treated as if submitted on paper even if they are transmitted electronically. That is highly inefficient. The IRS should prioritize an IT upgrade to allow taxpayers to log onto their online accounts, select to file an amended return, and have all return data from the taxpayer's originally filed return pre-populate. The taxpayer could then enter the changes – often just one or two – and the amended return could be submitted and processed electronically. The IRS should be able to pass the return through its business rules via automation. Those returns that pass would be approved quickly without employee review. Others would be routed for further review. If the IRS could implement an upgrade along these lines, it would substantially accelerate the processing of amended tax returns. Over the longer term, the IRS should expand the online account features to allow taxpayers to make adjustments to their tax returns in lieu of filing amended returns.

#### E. Deploy "customer callback" technology on all telephone lines, so taxpayers and tax professionals don't have to wait on hold and can receive a return call when the next CSR is available.

The IRS has begun to deploy customer callback technology on some of its telephone lines. It should continue to expand this technology to all lines. I need to caution that customer callback may not be a cure-all for IRS telephone operations; if the IRS workforce only has the capacity to answer 32 million telephone calls, as it did last year, customer callback still will not enable the IRS to handle all of the 250 million calls that went unanswered. However, many taxpayers call the IRS multiple times before they get through, and if effectively used, customer callback technology could substantially reduce the need for repeat calls, thereby reducing call volumes and serving taxpayers more effectively. I recommend that Congress provide funding specifically allocated for customer callback technology.

#### IV. Legislative Recommendations

The recommendations I have proposed above are ones the IRS can implement on its own. To improve the taxpayer experience, I also recommend two legislative ones – one dealing with funding and the other with the timing of tax law changes.

### A. Provide the IRS with additional sustained, multi-year funding at levels sufficient to meet taxpayer needs.

The pandemic and the resulting processing and refund delays have shone a brighter spotlight on the IRS's taxpayer service challenges, but they existed before the pandemic. Since FY 2010, the IRS's workforce has shrunk by 17 percent, while its workload – as measured by the number of individual return filings – has increased by 19 percent. The increasing imbalance between more work and reduced resources has had predictable effects. In FY 2019 – the most recent year before the pandemic – IRS employees only answered 29 percent of taxpayer telephone calls, and they were not able to process 58 percent of taxpayer responses to proposed tax adjustments within the agency's own standard processing times. IT systems are antiquated and are largely

held together by belts and suspenders, currently unable to offer taxpayers seemingly basic features like effective online accounts.

The IRS receives its annual appropriation in four accounts: (i) Taxpayer Services; (ii) Enforcement; (iii) Operations Support; and (iv) Business Systems Modernization. With limited exceptions, the IRS cannot move funds among its accounts.

To meet basic taxpayer service needs, the IRS requires additional funding in its Taxpayer Services account to improve return processing, correspondence processing, and telephone service. My own organization, TAS, is provided with a minimum funding level in the Taxpayer Services account and requires additional funding to address the increase in our cases, including the spike in congressionally referred cases that we have been receiving, as discussed below. Relatedly, funds from the Operations Support account, which includes IT funding, are allocated partly to support Taxpayer Services operations and partly to support Enforcement operations. To ensure that Taxpayer Services programs receive the funding they need, I encourage Congress to require that a specified amount of Operations Support funding be dedicated to Taxpayer Services initiatives. This would include, for example, additional funding to improve telephone service.

### B. Refrain from making changes to the Internal Revenue Code just before, and particularly during, the filing season.

Congress can play a role in reducing filing season challenges by enacting tax law changes prospectively and not retroactively. In the past, Congress has made changes to the Internal Revenue Code in December. Last minute tax law changes create administrability challenges for the IRS and tax software companies, and confusion for taxpayers and tax return preparers. When a law is changed, the IRS must re-program its processing systems to accommodate the change, sometimes leading to delays in the start of the filing season, and tax software companies must update their software so taxpayers and tax return preparers get the right results. In 2007, the National Taxpayer Advocate's Annual Report to Congress designated the impact of late-year tax law changes as the #1 most serious problem facing taxpayers.

This problem is compounded when the law is changed *during* the filing season. The American Rescue Plan Act, enacted in March 2021, provided that taxpayers could exclude up to \$10,200 in 2020 unemployment compensation (UC) benefits from gross income. By that time, almost half of all taxpayers had filed their 2020 tax returns, including millions that included the full amount of their UC benefits in gross income. To its credit, the IRS figured out a way to retroactively give most taxpayers the benefit of the exclusion without requiring them to file amended returns. But the IRS was forced to divert its limited IT resources to develop and implement this fix; confused taxpayers made millions of calls to the IRS and some filed amended returns before the fix was announced; and the fix could not be applied in all cases, generating further confusion for taxpayers and tax return preparers regarding which taxpayers had to file amended returns.

I recognize that Congress must balance competing priorities, and there are occasions where providing retroactive taxpayer relief may trump considerations of administrability. But changes after the IRS has programmed its systems for the filing season create significant challenges for the IRS, software companies, taxpayers, and preparers. I urge Congress to keep these administrability concerns in mind and refrain from making retroactive tax law changes except in extraordinary circumstances.

Congress created TAS to serve as a "safety net" for taxpayers, but over the past few years, the combination of more cases, fewer experienced case advocates, and an inability to close cases due to limited IRS resources has caused the TAS safety net to fray. That has increased case cycle times, made it harder for taxpayers to reach TAS, and reduced service levels for taxpayers and congressional offices that refer cases to us. From FY 2017 to FY 2021, TAS's case receipts rose from 167,000 to 264,000 – a 58 percent increase – while our appropriated funding on an inflation-adjusted basis declined by about six percent. When taxpayers cannot get their problems resolved directly with the IRS, they often contact their congressional offices for assistance. The number of cases TAS received from congressional offices demonstrates the magnitude of the challenges taxpayers experienced in 2021. In the three years preceding the pandemic, TAS received between 10,000 and 11,000 congressional referrals annually. Last year, we received more than 66,000 congressional referrals – more than *six times* as many cases as in pre-pandemic years.

Although our employees are resilient and want to help every taxpayer needing help, we had to make some difficult decisions during the past year to address our workload challenges. In November, we reinstated our prior policy against accepting cases where the sole issue was a delay in the processing of an original or amended tax return. Since then, TAS has been working with the IRS to dedicate resources for TAS. Going forward, TAS will be utilizing the IRS's surge team once it stands up to assist in processing TAS cases. Numerous Members of Congress and staff have called or written to express concern about this policy. We recognize the hardship and frustration taxpayers are facing and we recently communicated to your local offices that we will accept processing cases in certain circumstances.

Nevertheless, I think it would be helpful to explain TAS's role in assisting taxpayers and the tradeoffs that accepting these processing cases involves. Congress created TAS as part of the IRS Restructuring and Reform Act of 1998 to serve as an "advocate" for taxpayers in resolving problems with the IRS. By law, "taxpayer advocate offices operate independently of any other Internal Revenue Service office"<sup>5</sup> and the National Taxpayer Advocate and her delegates are authorized to issue "Taxpayer Assistance Orders" to assist taxpayers by requiring the IRS to take certain actions or refrain from taking certain actions, unless an order is modified or rescinded by the Commissioner or the Deputy Commissioner.<sup>6</sup>

As advocates operating independently of the IRS, TAS is not authorized to resolve taxpayer problems on its own. We "advocate." We do not implement. We do not have the authority to accept or process tax returns, to resolve audits, or to make final determinations regarding whether collection actions are warranted. Rather, our function is to serve as an ombuds when a taxpayer is experiencing a financial hardship or when a taxpayer's case has fallen through the bureaucratic cracks. Our case advocates generally resolve cases by sending an "Operations Assistance Request" (OAR) to the IRS operating division with control over the issue to request that it take specified actions, and we include documentation to support the request. In prepandemic years, TAS had sufficient staff to accept substantially all cases that met our case-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> IRC § 7803(c)(4)(A)(iii).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> IRC § 7811.

acceptance criteria and the IRS had sufficient staff to process our OARs in a relatively timely manner.

The pandemic has presented unique challenges for TAS that are derivative of the challenges facing the IRS. For example, processing delays of ten months mean that millions of taxpayers are experiencing economic or systemic hardships and ordinarily may qualify for TAS's services. But TAS does not have the staffing to accept more cases, and the IRS is so buried in backlogged returns that it cannot give priority to the returns of taxpayers who come to TAS without slowing down the processing of all other returns.

That is the tradeoff we face when asked to expedite the processing of original or amended tax returns. In general, the IRS is processing returns on a first-in, first-out basis. When tax returns come in, they are "batched" by the date of receipt. If TAS accepts a case involving an amended tax return and asks the processing center to locate it or expedite its processing, the processing center manager generally must instruct employees to stop transcribing returns to look through all the returns in the "batch" where the target return is thought to be located. There may be thousands of returns in the batch. This is akin to asking an IRS employee to find a needle in a haystack. As a result, a request to expedite the processing of one return will delay the processing of many other returns, as IRS employees must stop transcribing returns by date of receipt to locate the return at issue.

This is obviously not an ideal situation, but it typifies the current challenges. While TAS may be able to help in limited circumstances, our ability to assist taxpayers is limited by our staffing and the IRS's speed in responding to us. Although TAS has expanded our case acceptance criteria, there is no getting around the reality that millions of taxpayers will continue to experience refund delays, and some will experience economic hardships until the IRS works through its processing backlog.

#### VI. Conclusion

The 2021 filing season presented unprecedented challenges for taxpayers and the IRS alike, and most of those challenges remain. Some arguably have grown worse. There are millions of tax returns and pieces of correspondence that the IRS received last year but could not process. It is therefore starting the 2022 filing season in a deep hole. While there is no magic bullet, there are short-term steps the IRS can take to accelerate the processing of returns and treat taxpayers fairly, and with Congress's support, there are steps the agency can and should take over the medium and longer term to improve its operations going forward.

The longer-term solution should involve strengthening both human and IT resources. And once the IRS digs out of its current backlog hole, it will require an investment in its infrastructure, touching everything from basic taxpayer service and filing season processing to tax law enforcement that is effective but fair to taxpayers who are experiencing economic hardships. The IRS needs to rebuild and modernize its foundation to enable it to make good on its stated mission to "[p]rovide America's taxpayers top quality service by helping them understand and meet their tax responsibilities and enforce the law with integrity and fairness for all." In this statement, I have outlined my priority recommendations to help the IRS do a better job of accomplishing its mission.